

school seniors were among those offered appointments to join our academies for the 1998 academic year. These students from Orange County will now prepare for one of the most exciting, challenging and educational experiences of their lives, years of commitment and service to their Nation. During their years in high school several of these students excelled in academics, athletics, and more importantly, these students were very devoted to community service.

I ask my colleagues to join me in congratulating the following students who were appointed to the United States military academies for the 1998 academic year:

Robert J. Kennedy of Garden Grove
Julio A. Nelson of Anaheim
Michael Bigrigg of Santa Ana
Joshua Fogle of Garden Grove
Leo Kosi of Garden Grove

SUPPORT THE CHILD CUSTODY PROTECTION ACT

(Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. Mr. Speaker, today the Committee on the Judiciary will vote on a bill that is of great importance to the protection of my rights, my colleagues' rights and the rights of every American parent. The Child Custody Protection Act will protect the most sacred bond that exists, that between every parent and their children. As Members of Congress we have shown great determination in our pursuit of prosecuting and punishing sexual predators who prey on our children. We must also act to stop those who decide to play parent to our children.

Mr. Speaker, these strangers smuggle children across State lines in order to circumvent a State's parental law on abortions. Let us safeguard our parental rights by passing H.R. 3682 so that the laws of every State regarding abortion for minor girls are respected. This bill makes it a Federal crime for a non-parent to transport a minor girl across State lines to evade her home State's parent involvement law and obtain an abortion. It does not establish a nationwide parental notification requirement, but it does respect parental rights.

Mr. Speaker, no stranger should break the sacred bond between a parent and her child.

HOUSTON HABITAT FOR HUMANITY

(Mr. GREEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GREEN. Mr. Speaker, too often from these microphones we hear what is wrong with our country, and today I want to talk about what is right with our country.

In Houston this week we are celebrating the kickoff of the 1998 Jimmy Carter and Habitat For Humanity work project, the largest Habitat for Humanity project ever attempted. Throughout this week volunteers from all across the country will be building 100 houses in Houston and at least 40 in the congressional district that I am honored to represent. One hundred families will have a roof over their heads as well as an affordable place to live and raise their families. The Jimmy Carter work project will give Houston families an opportunity to buy a home where there is no profit included in the sales price and no interest charged on the mortgage.

On Monday morning not only myself, but my staff and I, joined in this project putting up that house, and all this week staff and volunteers are working on our house to have a safe and decent home for our family, Francisco and Claudia Villanueva and their five year-old child, Ana. This home is being built as a House that Congress Built project that is being built nationwide across all Members of Congress, 435. I am glad to have this opportunity to directly provide a house in our district, but also participate nationwide.

Mr. Speaker, that is what is right with our country.

SUPPORT BETTER EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES FOR OUR CHILDREN

(Mr. ROGAN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. ROGAN. Mr. Speaker, the defenders of the education status quo are on the wrong side of history. Each year these people ask for more money for the same failed programs that gave us such poor education results in the first place. Parents are fed up with broken promises and the lack of accountability that has been the hallmark of these demands for more and more money. Parents and reformers are demanding change. Charter schools, school choice and education IRAs are the wave of the future. Yet these reforms are fought tooth and nail by the special interests and their defenders in Congress.

But these bureaucracy lovers will ultimately fail. They will fail because parents who care about the education of their children will no longer accept failure no matter how much money is wasted on the failure.

Mr. Speaker, parents and their children who desire more educational opportunities are looking to Congress to pass the Coverdell legislation and vote for better educational opportunities for their children. That is the mandate and the obligation of this Congress, and I urge my colleagues to follow it.

WE MUST DEAL WITH NORTH KOREAN MISSILE SALES

(Mr. TRAFICANT asked and was given permission to address the House

for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Speaker, more threats from Communists: North Korea said, "We will sell missiles to your enemies, and if you want to stop us, Uncle Sam, you will have to pay us."

Unbelievable.

Sources say that North Korea believes there is a softening of resolve in Washington. Evidently, North Korea believes that a White House that will make no demands on China after China threatened Taiwan and Los Angeles is a White House that will make no demands on communists, my colleagues.

Beam me up. North Korea would never say in-your-face threats to Ronald Reagan.

Congress better look at these foreign entanglements that endanger our national sovereignty.

I yield back any missiles pointed at America.

TAX CODE ELIMINATION OFFERS A FRESH OPPORTUNITY

(Mr. BOB SCHAFFER of Colorado asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BOB SCHAFFER of Colorado. Mr. Speaker, for a number of years now we have heard political leaders call for reform of the Tax Code, but nothing ever seems to change. Now Congress has a real chance to do what so many Americans have been asking for for a long, long time.

Today the House of Representatives will have an opportunity to vote on the Tax Code Elimination Act, a bill that will finally get rid of the Tax Code once and for all. It will sunset the Tax Code on December 31, 2002, and it will require Congress to come up with an alternative way to collect revenue. By voting for this bill, Mr. Speaker, Congress will be putting America on notice that a national debate must begin about a new tax system that is simpler, more fair and more transparent.

Mr. Speaker, it is time to choose a more rational system of taxation, one that average people can understand without having to consult accountants and tax attorneys. It is time for a national debate, and it is time for that national debate to begin now.

FIRST—ONE OF THE MOST INNOVATIVE AND EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS EVER CREATED

(Mr. REYES asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. REYES. Mr. Speaker, tomorrow Capitol Hill will be given the opportunity to experience what I believe is one of the most dynamic, innovative and educational programs ever created in our country. This program, called FIRST, teaches our youth that science and technology can be fun, it can be exciting and, yes, even cool.

Mr. Speaker, teams of high school students work with local adult mentors

to build robots. They then compete against other teams in regional competitions and culminate with a national competition at Disney World in Florida.

Tomorrow FIRST is bringing 12 of its outstanding teens here to provide a mini version of one of its competitions. One of these teams, I am proud to say, is Montwood High School and their robot Rambot which comes from El Paso, Texas, in my district. I am very proud of the hard work and determination that brought them here this week.

Mr. Speaker, many of our colleagues on both sides of the aisle have already joined me in supporting FIRST and are helping to sponsor tomorrow's event. I urge each one of my colleagues, as well as their staffs, to come by the Rayburn foyer tomorrow between 9:30 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. to learn how the lives of our students and students in my colleagues' districts can be changed forever in a very positive way.

CONSIDER THE CONSEQUENCES OF FREE AIR TIME

(Mr. SHIMKUS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SHIMKUS. Mr. Speaker, as we continue to debate campaign finance reform some of my colleagues continue to press for free air time. Some of the legislation we will be considering requires broadcasters to sell time to candidates at 50 percent below the already discounted rate. I really do not think they have carefully considered the consequences of this issue.

The first problem is that it will not necessarily reduce campaign spending. What would stop a candidate from buying twice as many spots?

Secondly, the glut of commercials will simply turn voters off. A survey by Opinion Research Corporation last year showed that 61 percent of adults do not want more campaign ads on TV or radio.

What do they want? They want more debates and news coverage, all of which are currently provided by broadcasters for free.

Mr. Speaker, Congress needs to take a step back, let the voters decide and allow the best candidate to win.

HOW WE CAN BEST SERVE AMERICA'S STUDENTS

(Mr. WYNN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WYNN. Mr. Speaker, good morning. I rise today to talk about the issue of education and the debate that is evolving here in the House about how we can best serve American students. The best seems to be two areas of thought. The Republicans today and tomorrow will talk about another program to aid private school education.

□ 1015

The Democrats again are saying we need to support public education. This is really ironic when we think of the fact that 90 percent of American students attend public school. It would seem only logical that we would put our money where the students are.

However, that is not the case on the Republican side. They are advocating so-called savings accounts for education that would allow tax-free contributions into private schools. That is not the way we can help American education.

The Democrats have a very simple and straightforward approach. We suggest that what we need to do is improve public schools. We need to reduce class size by providing Federal assistance so that we can hire more teachers. Sixty percent of Americans surveyed say the Federal Government needs to spend more money on public education. We can hire 100,000 new teachers, we can reduce class size, we can modernize our schools.

We need to put our money where the students are, and that is in public education.

COMMITMENT TO A MORE SECURE FISCAL FUTURE FOR AMERICANS

(Mr. GIBBONS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GIBBONS. Mr. Speaker, the tax man cometh and cometh and cometh. Yet, there is a bright light at the end of this dark tax tunnel.

Today in Congress, Congress will have that chance to answer the call of millions of hard-working families in this country who feel the Federal Government needs a new system of taxation. Today, the Federal Government has the great opportunity to renew the American dream.

Mr. Speaker, Members of Congress have a chance to lift the tax burden off their constituents by passing legislation to sunset the current Tax Code. It is time to retire this outdated and oppressive Tax Code; it is time to give our children and generations to come the opportunity to participate in the American dream that rewards hard work, not penalizes it, with an unfair tax system. It is time to clear the way for a fairer, less complicated, and less burdensome tax system in this country.

Mr. Speaker, let us tell the American people that we are serious about changing the tax system and pass the Tax Code Termination Act. It is our commitment to a more secure fiscal future for our children and future generations.

ELIMINATE THE MARRIAGE TAX PENALTY

(Mr. WELLER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1

minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WELLER. Mr. Speaker, 3 weeks ago this House made a commitment to eliminate the marriage tax penalty in the budget that we passed, and I think a series of questions best illustrate why it is so important.

These are pretty simple questions. Do Americans feel that it is fair that our Tax Code actually provides a higher tax on a married couple just because they are married? Do Americans feel that it is fair that 21 million married, working couples pay on the average of \$1,400 more just because they are married? Do Americans feel that it is right that the only way today to avoid the marriage tax penalty is to file for divorce? Of course, Americans all agree that is wrong.

Mr. Speaker, \$1,400 in the south suburbs of Chicago, that is one year's tuition at Joliet Junior College; that is 3 months day care at a local day care center. Higher taxes just because you are married are wrong.

We made a commitment in the budget that we passed out of this House a few short weeks ago to eliminate the marriage penalty. Let us work together in a bipartisan way. I hope President Clinton will join with us in making this a bipartisan effort to eliminate the marriage penalty. Let us eliminate the marriage penalty, and let us eliminate it now.

PAKISTAN NUCLEAR TESTS: AN- OTHER CLINTON FOREIGN POL- ICY FAILURE

(Mr. HEFLEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HEFLEY. Mr. Speaker, make no mistake about it, Asia is in a full blown nuclear arms race, and I think Bill Clinton is personally responsible for it.

The sad truth is that Bill Clinton permitted the sale of satellite and missile technology to China. China provided nuclear assistance to Pakistan and Iran. That prompted India to boost its nuclear weapons program, not because it was afraid of Pakistan, but because it was afraid of China, and then Pakistan upped the ante.

At best, this represents striking incompetence on the part of the Clinton-Gore administration. It will require congressional and other investigations to establish whether corruption also played a role.

Presidents Reagan and Bush restored America's credibility and left America and the world safer places. Clinton has systematically eroded our credibility abroad and he is irresponsibly squandering the presidential legacy he inherited.

So what does this President do? He jumps on India and Pakistan and jumps on a plane to China, which is the source of the problem. Outrageous. I suppose consistency is too much to expect from this administration.